

United States Patent and Trademark Office



APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/034,503		12/28/2001	Qingsheng Zhu	279.132US2	2027	
21186	7590	12/02/2003	EXAMINER			
	,	INDBERG, WOES	EVANISKO, GE	EVANISKO, GEORGE ROBERT		
P.O. BOX 2 MINNEAPO		1 55402	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MINICAL	JLIO, MIN	33402		3762	3762	

DATE MAILED: 12/02/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					1,~~				
		Application No.		Applicant(s)	<i>*</i>				
		10/034,503		ZHU ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
	/	George R Evanisko		3762					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap r Reply	ppears on the cover si	neet with the co	rresponaence aa	aress				
THE N - Exten after to - If the - If NO - Failui - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing digital patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however ply within the statutory minimu I will apply and will expire SIX te, cause the application to be	r, may a reply be time um of thirty (30) days (6) MONTHS from the ecome ABANDONED	oly filed will be considered timely the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 I	<u>December 2001</u> .							
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	s action is non-final.							
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
5) [6) [7) [Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-29 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers								
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examin The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ender 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:	cepted or b) object e drawing(s) be held in ction is required if the d examiner. Note the at gn priority under 35 U	abeyance. See drawing(s) is objettached Office A	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF Action or form PT					
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. 									
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 No	tice of Informal Pa	PTO-413) Paper No(: tent Application (PTC					

Application/Control Number: 10/034,503

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Embodiments 1-3 of the lead body including a conductor, represented by an intermediate portion electrode, a wire filament electrode, or a conductive sleeve electrode, respectively.

In addition, a species must be chosen from embodiments 4 and 5 of the electrode, represented by the filament electrode or conductive sleeve electrode, respectively.

Also, a species must be chosen from embodiments 6 and 7 of the electrode location, represented by the offset electrode or the flush electrode, respectively.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there are no claims that are allowable and generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/034,503

Art Unit: 3762

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Peter Maki on 11/30/03 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George R Evanisko whose telephone number is 703 308-2612. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on 703 308-5181. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703 306-4520.

Application/Control Number: 10/034,503

Art Unit: 3762

Page 4

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308-1148.

George R Evanisko Primary Examiner Art Unit 3762

11/30/3

GRE November 30, 2003